

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,673	07/08/2003	Jeffrey W. Moe	104874-142119 9826		
75	90 10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
Goodwin Procter LLP			DINH, TIEN QUANG		
599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3644		
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
/ :				d		
Office Action Summar	10/61		MOE ET AL.	<i></i>		
· Office Action Summar	<i>y</i>	ner	Art Unit			
	Tien C		3644	· 		
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maxin - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the num statutory period will apply ar or reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may a reply be t statutory minimum of thirty (30) da nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	is non-final.				
,						
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims				:		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14,15</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to respect t	and 17-20 is/are withd ected.).			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	s/are: a) accepted or objection to the drawing (duding the correction is red	(s) be held in abeyance. Squired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objec	ted to by the Examiner.	. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a calcal All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the process of the process of the process of the certified copies of the process of th	of: iority documents have l iority documents have l pies of the priority docu rnational Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in Applica uments have been recei Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal		52)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/28/03</u> .	143 01 F 1 0/30/00)	6) Other:	·	-,		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I and species A in the reply filed on 7/13/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 14, 15, and 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group I and species A, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/13/04.

The Examiner would like to point out that claims 14 and 15 do no read upon the elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, "the nacelle highlight" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 12, "the inlet lip of the nacelle" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hom or Mnich et al in view of Dean et al.

Hom or Mnich et al discloses an acoustic panel having a solid back skin, acoustically permeable front skin, and a honeycomb cell structure. However, Hom or Mnich et al is silent on the ice protection system and the insulation element. However, Dean et al teach an ice protection system that has an acoustically permeable and electrically and thermally conductive structure and insulation elements are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an ice protection system (being wire meshes and made out of metal, which can includes steel) and the insulation element in Hom or Mnich et al's system as taught by Dean et al to protect the aircraft from ice. Please note that the acoustic panels can be used in any parts of the aircraft including the nacelle.

Claimd 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hom or Mnich et al's system as modified by Dean et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kugelman or Volkner et al.

Art Unit: 3644

Hom or Mnich et al's system as modified by Dean et al discloses all claimed parts except for the parting strip and selectively or sequentially operating sections of the ice protection system. However, Kugelman or Volkner et al teaches that parting strips and selectively or sequentially operating sections of the ice protection systems are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used parting strips and selectively or sequentially operating sections of the ice protection system in Hom or Mnich et al's system as modified by Dean et al and as taught by Kugelman or Volkner et al to efficiently and effectively prevent ice.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ely et al, Cowdrey et al, Ford et al, Adams, Boyd et al, and Ingram et al disclose de-icing means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 703-308-2798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Tien sil